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Revenue generation a top priority for poor countries with deficit budgets

Tanzania Trend in Budget Deficit

Figure 1: Budget Surplus as a % of GDP in Tanzania
Potential for revenue generation through EFR in Kenya
Limited use of EFR so far

- Kenya uses fiscal instruments to pursue environmental objectives albeit in a limited way;
  - subsidies more prevalent
  - fees and royalties too low to induce behavior change
  - taxes and levies rare.

- However, 120% excise duty on shopping plastic bags introduced in 2007/08 Budget.
Policy Instruments Used

- Increase in excise duty on petroleum products for gasoline and for diesel
- Levy of Kshs.1 per litre of Kerosene to raise revenue for use in water harvesting and other projects
- Surcharge on imported second hand vehicles
- Increase in excise duty on motor vehicles to decongest roads
- Reduction of import duty, excise duty, VAT on diesel and residual fuels; LPG; Kerosene; imported timber and other wood products to reduce deforestation
Low revenues generated from EFR

- Revenue from forestry and mining is 0.16% of total tax revenue, i.e. <0.1% of the total amount of revenue generated in 2002/03 and 2003/04.

- Fisheries revenue (5% import duty; 0.5% export royalty; vessel licence fees; others) about 0.05% of total revenue in 2005, with about 59% collected from foreign vessel licences.

- Revenue from petroleum taxes about 5-6% of total revenue; About 78% of revenue from petroleum from the two domestic levies.

- Revenue from EFR taxes is about 6% of total tax revenue and 1.7% of GDP
High potential of raising revenue through EFR in Kenya

- Heavy dependence on wood fuel and ecosystem services. Wood fuel supplies 70% of energy, 21% from petroleum and 9% from electricity (largely hydroelectricity)
- High pressure from stakeholders, donors, civil society and consumers
- Obligation from MEAs and hosting of UNEP
- Stronger surveillance of the country’s exclusive economic zone (EEZ) and the strong demand for Nile Perch in the export market
- Facilitative legal structure
- Persistently high budget deficits despite high VAT, corporate, and income tax rates
- Experience of other countries: contribution of environment-related taxes to overall tax revenue is quite high in countries like Korea (13.09%), Greece (11.72%) and Switzerland (11.03%)
To realize this potential, Kenya needs to:

– Develop a proactive policy of EFR
– Raise the level of political will
– Strengthen administrative and revenue collection capacity
– Strengthen the capacity to design effective EFR instruments
– Tackle governance issues including corruption more effectively
Potential for revenue generation through EFR in Tanzania
Use of EFR in Tanzania

- Various policy and legal documents specifically encourage and permit the use of economic policy instruments for environmental management.

- Some economic policy instruments have been put in place, for example
  - 120% excise duty on plastic shopping bags,
  - Differential import duty on cars depending on engine size and the age (for second hand cars) of the cars.

- Some studies indicate a considerable potential to raise revenue through new/adjusted mix of policy instruments and through improved revenue collection in specific sectors.

- However, few systematic studies conducted
Poor Revenue Collection is a Key Problem

• Tanzania Forestry:
  ~ 58 USD million lost annually due to the under-collection of natural forest product royalties in the districts
  – trade statistics show that China imported ten times more timber products from Tanzania than appear on Tanzania’s own export records.
  (Source: Forestry, Governance and National Development: Lessons Learned from a Logging Boom in Southern Tanzania (Milledge et al, 2007)

• Tanzania Fishery:
  – Only ~30% of revenue accruing to local level government collected
  (Source: Fiscal arrangements in the Tanzanian fisheries sector (FAO, 2004)
"A critical turning point in highlighting:

• the considerable potential for environmental resources to contribute to revenue

• significant under pricing, and very low revenue collection in e.g. fisheries and wildlife

• the relatively low levels of investment and recurrent expenditure on environmental assets and improved revenue capture “

Tanzania:
Revenue from Natural Resource sectors
FY 2001/2002 (Million Tsh)

Natural Resources Revenue as share of Total Government Revenue

The Importance of Fuel Taxes

- One of few environmentally related taxes that generate significant revenue
  - In OECD countries about 90% of the revenues from the environmentally related taxes stems from taxes on motor vehicle fuels and motor vehicles.
  - Tanzania ~7% of total govm revenue, Kenya ~6%, Mozambique ~10%
- Key instrument to combat global warming
- Is there a potential to raise further revenues from fuel taxes in countries like Kenya and Tanzania?
Fuel prices are relatively high

(In US Cts per Litre), April 2006

- Nigeria: 51
- India: 101
- Egypt: 30
- Uganda: 117
- Tanzania: 104
- South Africa: 85
- China: 69
- Malaysia: 53
- Kenya: 112
Gasoline Consumption per capita is very low

Gasoline consumption per capita 2003 (litre per person)
A. 5 % growth rate and constant prices
HISTORY & GCI CO2 SCENARIO for 450 ppmv under "CONTRACTION & CONVERGENCE"

Purely in respect of the allocation of CO2 burden. In this particular scenario, non-Annex I countries are assumed to meet their commitments under UNFCCC and to be at 1990 levels by the year 2000.

From 2000 the 'Comprehensive' (equals all-country) process of Contraction and Convergence.

In this scenario, policy of Convergences achieved by the year 2045 (the UN Centenary) and Contraction completed by 2100 (consistent with current IPCC carbon-cycle modelling for a 450 ppmv).
B. Equal per capita CO2 emissions (Contraction and Convergence)
C. Raised fuel taxes
Potential to increase revenues from fuel taxes?

• With existing tax levels, fuel demand will increase in line with increased income (GDP)

• A higher global carbon price provides an opportunity for raised fuel taxes also in low income countries

• Even a small increase in fuel taxes can yield considerable government revenue

• However, effects on poverty and the environment needs to be taken into account
Conclusions

• A focus on revenue generation can be an entry point for a broader dialogue on EFR

• Current revenues from EFR are low (except for fuel taxation)

• Potential to raise revenue
  – Raised taxes, royalties etc
  – Improved revenue collection

• Data is poor and few systematic studies conducted

• Empirical (and comparative) research needed to complement theoretical developments
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